

Meeting  
03/07/09

Agenda Item  
14

Reference No  
HEFCW/09/54

---

## **1 Issue**

- 1.1 This paper invites Council to approve the latest update of its corporate risk register. This item will have been considered by the Audit and Risk Committee at its meeting on 25 June 2009.

## **2 Corporate Planning Implications / Rationale for paper**

- 2.1 The 'Corporate Governance and Compliance' section of HEFCW's Corporate Strategy and Corporate & Operational Plan states that Council has adopted a risk-based approach to internal control, designed to provide reasonable assurance that it will achieve its corporate objectives and overall mission.

## **3 Recommendations**

The Council is invited to:

- (i) approve the latest update to the corporate risk register and the distribution of those risks,
- (ii) note the commentary on the current distribution of risks and on likely developments in the immediate future.

## **4 Timing for decisions**

- 4.1 The corporate risk register is presented to Council following consideration by the Audit and Risk Committee. Due to timing of the meetings, this paper has been sent in parallel to that submitted to the Audit and Risk Committee for its meeting on 25 June. Any substantial issues arising from the Committee's meeting will be raised by exception at the Council meeting.

## **5 Council members' interests**

- 5.1 No Council member has declared any further interests to those set out in the Register of members' interests which are of specific relevance to the matters covered in this paper.

## **6 Further information**

Contact Leanne Holborn (Tel 029 2068 2259; E-mail: [leanne.holborn@hefcw.ac.uk](mailto:leanne.holborn@hefcw.ac.uk))

## 7 Background

7.1 The Council has remitted its Audit and Risk Committee to review the adequacy of the structures, processes and responsibilities for identifying and managing key risks facing HEFCW. This includes reviews by the Committee at each of its meetings of the latest update of HEFCW's corporate risk register, the outcomes of which are reported to the next meeting of Council.

7.2 The last review of the corporate risk register by Council was at its meeting in May 2009. That review reflected the revised process agreed at earlier meetings. The revised arrangements placed stronger emphasis on the role of risk registers at Team level, so that the corporate risk register focuses only on the most significant risks facing HEFCW. The process can be summarised as follows:

- All Teams assess risks in relation to Team objectives, including all appropriate corporate and operational plan objectives. Assessments about the level of risk are made against a standard risk matrix and set of exemplars;
- The Directors' registers, and that of the CEO, are amalgamated into one;
- The two Directors sign off each Team risk register;
- Management Board scrutinises each Team's risk register on a regular basis, to ensure consistency of approach and to consider the range of risks identified by Teams as being significant (i.e. risks rated 'red' or 'yellow' on the matrix);
- Management Board considers the totality of significant risks coming from Team risk registers, which then go forward to comprise the corporate risk register. Thus, the corporate risk register contains details of all significant risks across the organisation at a particular point in time. Minor risks remain on Team risk registers only.
- Management Board considers the risk registers termly, prior to each Audit and Risk Committee.
- Management Board submits the latest corporate risk register to each meeting of Audit and Risk Committee (three times a year). This includes a commentary on the current distribution of corporate risks; details of the number of minor risks currently being managed by Teams; and an assessment of how the risk environment might change in the near future. Council receives details of the outcomes of the Committee's latest risk review.
- Any risks deemed high probability but low impact (H/L – yellow) no longer appear on the corporate risk register, thus changing the risk appetite and increasing the tolerance.

7.3 The arrangements have now settled in and are reflected in the HEFCW's *Risk Management Policy and Guidelines*

## **8 Internal Audit**

- 8.1 As reported at the last Council meeting, in late 2008 an internal audit report was issued on Risk Management which focussed on the extent that Risk Management was embedded in HEFCW.
- 8.2 As a result of its findings, this report contained 5 recommendations which in turn were translated into a Management Implementation Plan progress on which was considered at Audit and Risk Committee.

## **9 Corporate Risk Register**

- 9.1 The latest update to the corporate risk register, incorporating the significant risks from the latest reviews of Team risk registers, as reviewed and amended by Management Board, is attached at **Annex A**. A map showing the distribution of corporate risks in June 09 and March 09 is available at **Annex B**.
- 9.2 Since the last review in March 09, the following changes have been made to the risk registers.
- Some risks on the corporate risk register have been updated to reflect minor changes and updates made to the Team registers, including the loss of corporate risks when the Team registers have re-graded the level of risk;
  - Each risk on the corporate register has now been given a unique identifier which will now indicate the calendar year in which it was included. These numbers are not intended to be in order and will be used to track new risks and the removal risks. As this is the first time that the risks have been recorded like this, any “old” risks are marked as 2008 and any added during this review are recorded as 2009.
  - 003/09, 004/09, 014/09 and 018/09 are all new risks relating to the NSS, European policy, UK bodies Welsh dimension, strategic use of core funding and excessive workloads.
  - Since March one Corporate Register risk has been removed due to removal from the team registers – “No Approved Pension Admin Centre (PAC) provider following closure of BERR in June 2009.”
  - The net change since the March review is an increase of risks from 15 to 18. One was lost from the last version of the register and 4 have been added.

***The Council is invited to note changes made to the corporate risk register.***

## **10 Commentary on Distribution of Risks**

- 10.1 A summary of all the risks currently identified in Teams’ risk registers, both significant and minor, is attached at **Annex C**. Exemplars /definitions to support risk assessment are attached at **Annex D**.
- 10.2 The total number of risks currently identified on Team risk registers is 131. These break down as follows:

## Significant (corporate) risks (001/08 – 018/09)

The 18 significant risks that comprise the corporate risk register break down into:

### (i) 'Red zone' - top priority for action

- 1 'dark red' risk (risks identified as requiring urgent remedial action and rigorous monitoring).

008/08: Funding from the Assembly is insufficient to be able to sustain levels of funded activity particularly in the light of possible consequences for the budget arising from the economic downturn.  
Increase from H/M since March 09.

- 3 'light red' risks (risks requiring controls to mitigate the risk and/or contingency plans to address the consequences, and also close monitoring):

005/08: Sector fails to meet *Reaching Higher* targets of increasing proportion of Research Council income to 4.5% by 2010/11, and research income from other external sources by 60% by 2007/08, and by 100% by 2010/11.  
No change from March 09.

013/08: 'Sector responds inadequately to economic downturn challenge.'  
No change since March 09.

016/08: Inability to reach agreement on and implement pay and grading arrangements 2009 onwards.  
Level of risk increased since March 09.

### (ii) 'Yellow/Amber zone' - medium priority for action

- 14 'yellow' risks (risks requiring controls in place to mitigate the threats and/or contingency plans to address the consequences).

### (iii) Minor risks

A total of 122 minor risks (combinations of low and medium probability and impact, and high probability and low impact) currently are being managed across the organisation. They break down into:

- H/L – high probability, low impact – ('yellow' – tolerate; keeping watching brief) – **2** risks
- L/M - low probability, medium impact – ('light green' - tolerate; keep watching brief) - M/L – medium probability, low impact - ('light green' - tolerate; keep watching brief) – **83** risks
- L/L – low probability, low impact – ('dark green' - tolerate; no further action required) – **28** risks

## 11 Forecast of Likely Changes to Risks

11.1 The Council a forecast of the likely changes to corporate risks in the near future.

- There is a likelihood of cuts in Assembly budgets impacting on HEFCW running costs budget and funding for the HE sector.
- There will be the need to respond to the Minister's statement on the Jones' Review, including driving the sector through increasing the strategic use of core funding.
- Despite merger proposals, there is the potential (although the probability is low) for the Lampeter situation to worsen.
- There will be continuing general impact of recession on institutional financial health including on pay negotiations and on estates.
- The impact of the Secretary of State's letter to HEFCE regarding expenditure on services which are not core to teaching and research and the impact of this on future funding for and existence of, UK funded bodies.

***The Committee is invited to note the above commentary on the current distribution of corporate risks and on likely developments in the immediate future.***

## 12 Financial implications

12.1 There are no financial implications arising directly from the recommendations in this paper.

## 13 Communications implications

13.1 This paper has been assessed as disclosable, as have all previous versions, and therefore will be posted in full on the Council's website. The updated versions of the register and the revised *Guidelines* also will be posted on the HEFCW intranet.

## 14 Diversity and Equal Opportunities implications

14.1 In accordance with HEFCW's Equality Impact Assessment Guidance, the revised *Risk Management Policy and Guidelines* will be assessed for any potential impact on each equality strand (disability, gender, race, religious belief or sexual orientation etc.) and also for any Welsh language implications.

## 15 Risk Assessment

RISK	ACTION TO ADDRESS RISK
HEFCW in breach of HM Treasury's Risk Management Assessment Framework and risk guidelines.	HEFCW has in place formal processes for identifying and regularly monitoring risks, at both a corporate and operational level, as outlined in its <i>Risk Management Policy and Guidelines</i> .
HEFCW not compliant with its own	Regular monitoring and review of

RISK	ACTION TO ADDRESS RISK
<i>Risk Management Policy and Guidelines.</i>	risks facing HEFCW at both a corporate and operational level. These include regular reviews by Teams, Management Board, Audit and Risk Committee and Council.
HEFCW's risk management policies and procedures do not adequately reflect its commitment to a fully-embedded, consistent corporate approach to risk management.	Implementation of the revised risk assessment and management processes outlined at paragraph 7.2. Response made and actions undertaken as a result of the internal audit report. Close scrutiny of the new arrangements by Management Board, Audit and Risk Committee and Council.